Serial No. 10/584,420 Filed: June 4, 2007 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action

Response filed March 4, 2008

REMARKS

Claims 8-27 are pending in the application. Applicant has cancelled claims 1-7.

Applicant has added new Claims 8-27. No new matter was added.

Applicant respectfully requests consideration of Claims 8-27 in view of the following remarks.

Information Disclosure Statement

An Information Disclosure Statement was filed on August 2, 2007 together with a PTO Form 1449 listing the references cited therein. We have received an initialed copy of the PTO Form 1449. However, Reference B1, U.S. Pat. Publication No. 2003-0051041 A1 was not initialed as having been considered by the Examiner. Applicant respectfully requests a copy of the PTO Form 1449 with initials next to U.S. Pat. Publication No. 2003-0051041 A1 to confirm that U.S. Pat. Publication No. 2003-0051041 A1 has been considered and made of record in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being because directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has cancelled claims 1-6. As a result, these rejections are now moot.

Claims Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,430,570 B1 (hereinafter "Judge"), in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0205769 A1 (hereinafter "Runtu").

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Judge in view of Ruutu and further in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0063731 A1 (hereinafter "Woodrine").

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Judge in view of Ruutu and in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0051041 A1 (hereinafter "Kalavade").

Applicant has cancelled Claims 1-7. As a result, these rejections are moot.

Serial No. 10/584,420 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action Response filed March 4, 2008

New Claim 8.

Applicant respectfully submits that Judge, Ruutu, Woodring, and Kalavade, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the limitations "wherein the key information includes a byte array and a uniform resource locator address," as described in new Claim 8.

Filed: June 4, 2007

New Claim 15.

Applicant respectfully submits that Judge, Ruutu, Woodring, and Kalavade, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the limitations of "computer program code to write, in a storage area of the memory, key information of a child program being executed with the processor as a function of a system program and a parent program also being executed with the processor, wherein the key information identifies the child program" and "wherein the key information includes a byte array and a uniform resource locator address" as described in new Claim 15.

New Claim 20.

Applicant respectfully submits that Judge, Ruutu, Woodring, and Kalavade, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the limitations of " the application manager initiating execution of a run-time executable program by the virtual machine, wherein the run-time executable program includes instructions executable by the virtual machine" and " in response to determination that the message includes the application identifier and the key information, storing a content included in the message in a memory location accessible by the run-time executable program," as described in new Claim 20.

Serial No. 10/584,420 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action Response filed March 4, 2008 Filed: June 4, 2007

Conclusion

For at least the above reasons, Applicant believes that new independent Claims 8, 15, and 20 and the claims dependent therefrom are in condition for allowance. Thus, Applicant believes that the present pending claims of this application are allowable and respectfully requests the Examiner to issue a Notice of Allowance for this application.

Should the Examiner deem a telephone conference to be beneficial in expediting allowance/examination of this application, Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Sanders N. Hillis Attorney Reg. No. 45,712

Attorney for Applicant

SNH/KFH/dlh

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE CUSTOMER NO. 00757 Telephone: 317-636-0886 Facsimile: 317-634-6701